

Published LifeStyle, 28.4.2019

Are Earthlings better off with a scientific or religious/spiritual model of life?

The line between these philosophical foes gets increasingly blurred.

ramatis scenario: Once upon a little blue-green planet on the outskirts of a minor galaxy all but lost in the unfathomable vastness of the cosmos, mostly-furry creatures scurried hither and thither with naught much of a thought, really, of their true predicament.

In the beginning they spent about 99% of their time just trying to stay alive, and the other 1% on wondering if there is any strategic plan, grand design or actual rationale to the random madness that was life. Why should they have to spend so much time on what ought to be a god given result of having been created? Or possibly it was all really just a straight up meaningless cosmic jest in exceedingly bad taste.

Of course this is not a two-valued logic, either/or, scientific/religious, vanilla/chocolate world, but is an infinity-valued logic universe with lots of variants and degrees, however, inspection shows that we all operate more on one of the above two choices... but let's don't get ahead of ourselves.

Our most current archeological findings of prehistoric rituals and icons indicate that pretty much from the get-go the creatures felt that there should be a reason for it all (religion/spirituality), that if they had to suffer saber tooth tigers, snakes, swamps, earthquakes, volcanoes, wars, kings, slavery, famine, torture, plagues, and menstrual

cramps resulting in "coitus you-can-forgetitus," than it would REALLY suck if there wasn't a DAMN good purpose and strategy for this particular life paradigm.

.