Art exhibitions take many forms, including blockbuster shows, biennales and research-focused presentations. Analyse the advantages and limitations of these different formats, considering how they shape public engagement and understanding of art. Do large-scale exhibitions increase accessibility, or risk turning art into spectacle? In your answer, reflect on whether current exhibition practices strengthen or weaken the relationship between artworks, their historical context, and the public.

Exhibitions are the primary interface between artworks and the public. Over the last century museums have increasingly experimented with many different exhibition formats, which broaden audiences and redefine the idea of the cultural role of art institutions. There are three major exhibition models which dominate modern practise. The ones which I will be examining are blockbuster exhibitions, biennales, and research-focused exhibitions. While large scale exhibitions promise accessibility and cultural visibility, many critics argue they risk transforming artworks into a spectacle, detaching these artworks from their historical context.

This essay will argue that while blockbuster exhibitions and biennales have significantly expanded public engagement with art, for example "The natural history museum today announces an 11% increase in visitors from 2023, making it the most second visited UK attraction last year, according to The association of Leading visitor Attractions" (Trustees of the Natural History Museum , London, 2024) but, they also risk prioritising spectacle and tourism over historical understanding. On the other hand, research focused exhibitions, though less visible, often preserve a deeper contextual understanding with artworks. The most successful exhibition practises balance accessibility with interpretation, which ensures that the relationship between artwork, context and viewer stays meaningful.

The block buster exhibitions are large, high profile art shows, designed to attract huge audiences and media attention. Am example of this would be the Uffizi gallery, Florence, which showcases many renaissance master's artworks. While blockbuster exhibitions play a significant role in democratising access to art, the scale and popularity also prioritise spectacle over historical understanding and meaningful interpretation by public. Because these exhibitions are so difficult for institutions to stage, accusations of elitism are less convincing. They also improve the museums public image and protect its financial standing. As Anne Higonnet has put it in 'Wither and Barnes?' page 46 "Blockbusters help to maintain an extremely fragile equation in which attendance equals popularity equals 'cultural democracy' equals ideological justification equals funding" (Barker, 1999, p.127). Blockbusters also make artworks accessible to audiences who may not otherwise engage with museums, as they expand public awareness of these artists, attract a wide range of new visitors and create this cultural excitement around art. Due to the largeness of these exhibitions, they are able to generate revenue through ticket sales, tourism and museum branding, allowing the museum to remain financially viable. Another advantage is the museums educational potential. These large-scale exhibitions can introduce large audiences to important artistic movements and historical contexts and themes.

However, many critics argue that blockbusters turn art into entertainment and increasingly function as sites of cultural consumption. "There are many discussions of the danger museums are facing of becoming pro-profit organizations" (Liu, 2012). Visitors often rush through exhibitions, focusing primarily on famous works of art, "[T]he most excellent works of every art, the noblest products of genius, will always and necessarily remain closed books for the obtuse majority" (Schopenhauer, 2010). With blockbusters, works are often removed from their original location and displayed only for visual impact, reducing these artworks to iconic images rather than historical objects with context. As

Ben Davis said using an example of Picasso, just as museums were taking their turn towards "blockbuster-ization" (Ben Davis, 2023), it "flattens our ability to see the artworks as artworks" (Ben Davis, 2023). Blockbuster museums tend to prioritise crowd pleasing, recognisable artists, as it brings the largest crowd in, however what should really be celebrated is scholarly innovation.

Undeniably, blockbuster exhibitions play a key role in expanding access to art to large and diverse audiences, grabbing media attention and supporting the financial stability of museums. There grand scale creates this cultural excitement and introduces visitors to major artists and movements, which in their life they have not encountered, reinforcing the museums public image and educational effect. However, this accessibility comes at a cost of deeper understanding and meaningful interaction with artworks. The focus on spectacle, famous artists and crowd-pleasing displays, encourages this. Compared to Biennales and research focused exhibitions, blockbusters highlight ideas between accessibility and interpretation. Overall, the most effective exhibition formats, balance audience engagement with interpretation, ensuring that the relationship between artwork, the artworks historical context and the public remain meaningful.

Biennales are large-scale cultural exhibitions which happen periodically, showcasing influential contemporary art. An example of a biennale would be Venice Biennale, one of the oldest and most prestigious exhibitions which was founded in 1895, "By the time the Biennale closed, total visitor numbers reached over half a million (up from 475,000 in 2013)" (Hynes, 2016). Biennales create immense international visibility for emerging artists, "biennales function within a global art market, and attract the attention of plutocrats from around the planet" (Hynes, 2016), as the audience is diverse, fostering in experimentation, contemporary media, and this global artistic dialogue. This global merging brings together many artists from diverse cultural backgrounds, allowing audiences to encounter these practises which may not appear in typical museum collections (e.g. Blockbuster exhibitions). This strengthens cross cultural dialogue, as well as helping viewers to connect to contemporary art meaningfully. Biennales often explore themes such as climate and migration, as they are typically in multiple venues across the city, means these topics will reach to the wider public, educating and engaging them on local context and other worldly issues.

However, the large scale of these biennales can also limit the meaningful interaction and understanding between the public and the artwork. The Giardini della biennale is a location part of the Venice biennale, which includes hundreds of works, making it exceedingly difficult for visitors to engage deeply with the pieces. Visitors may experience visual fatigue, where artworks go from being experienced thoughtfully to briefly, "Beverly Serrell has conducted a large amount of work on the duration an allocation of visitors' time in museums. In a study at over 00 exhibitions (Serrell 997; 998), she found that visitors typically spent less than 20 minutes in exhibitions regardless of topic and size" (Davey, 2005). This fast moving pace through the exhibitions creates this fragmented understanding and superficial engagement with the biennale. Undeniably, the biennale is key for the city's cultural tourism, particularly Venice. The exhibition helps towards its city's transformation in a global cultural destination; however, this turns contemporary art into a spectacle, particularly aligned with national branding and prestige.

Similarly, biennials expand public engagement, like blockbusters, by presenting these large-scale international exhibitions, showcasing diverse contemporary artistic dialogue, yet their size and association to tourism, weakens the public interpretation on art. For example, the Venice biennale shows how these periodic exhibitions are able to attract diverse audiences, offering these emerging artists global visibility, exploring urgent world wide themes, encouraging cross cultural exchange, as well as challenging the public traditional view on art and showing a whole different collection than what they would usually see in museums. However, the considerable number of artworks displayed limits meaningful engagement with individual works, leading to this idea of visual fatigue. The biennales role in promoting tourism aligns contemporary art with spectacle, reinforcing the tension between accessibility and understanding identified in this essay. "Going to the Biennale in November is like seeing a friend nearing the end of a marathon. They are still going strong but have slowed

down and look gaunt. There is a grace and a lack of pretence, along with a pride in having survived" (Hynes, 2016).

In contrast to blockbuster exhibitions and biennales, research focused exhibitions are based on investigation, study, and ideas, prioritising scholarly depth over scale and mass appeal. Instead of showing only polished pieces, it shows the thinking, evidence, and explanation behind an artwork. "Clearly being involved in an inquiry is the best way to learn from that activity" (Robertson, 2004). These exhibitions are usually centred on a specific theme, allowing and aiming for a deeper understanding from the public. Often including archival documents and sketches helping the audiences to understand how artworks were created and interpreted, allowing the public a bigger insight into the historical context. Due to the specific theme focus, this format allows the curators to situate the artworks into the original cultural contexts, allowing the public to engage with the artwork and its production correctly and meaningfully. Unlike blockbuster and biennales, which prioritise visual impact, research-based display are frequently accompanied by academic explanatory texts and new scholarly research, which help to support the deepening understanding. As a result of this, it helps to reshape preexisting art historical narratives.

Despite this, the limited scale of these exhibitions reduce accessibility, attracting fewer viewers who often need prior knowledge. Without huge amount of marketing, this attracts more specialised but smaller audiences, restricting the broader global impact. Financial pressures further complicate this format of exhibition, as without major marketing budgets, the exhibitions struggle to reach wider audiences. Without recognisability masterpieces like in blockbusters, research based exhibtions tend to attract smaller visitor numbers, as well as little media coverage. The heavy reliance on scholarly texts and contextual material, this creates a didactic viewing experience, where visitors cannot interpret the artwork as much as one should. As a result of this, engagement may become much more passive. Although these exhibitions have much educational value and contribution to scholarship, the limited visibility, as well as the niche nature of the content, restricts public participation, as well as creating their opinion on artwork for them.

Overall, research focused exhibitions encourage meaningful engagement, and reassure existing art historical narratives, by offering insight into artworks, showing the process of artworks, and offering contextual understanding to the artworks. However, the limited scale and specialised focus reduce ideas of accessibility to the public, compared to blockbusters and biennales. The minimal marketing due to finances restrict reach to global markets, as wells s relying on schedule information for meaningful viewer engagement. These exhibitions provide valuable educational contributions, but the niche nature of the format highlights the ongoing tension between the depth of understanding and public participation.

For an exhibition to be successful it must integrate elements of both blockbusters, biennales, and research focused exhibitions. The debate between accessibility and artwork becoming a spectacle, there is no simple solution and medium. Future exhibitions should include visually engaging displays, which are split into focused groups, which have focused themes, however I believe there should be multiple per exhibition. There should be strong interpretative frameworks, however there should be access to scholarly content and historical context. This could be by showcasing the essential information; however, the public should have access to more academic information if they please. This will allow meaningful interpretation, as well as education. My integrating visual impact with critical interpretation, means these exhibitions will attract audiences, whilst balancing educational and cultural roles, ensuring that the exhibitions function is not just for public spectacle, but also a space for reflection and deeper learning where artworks stay historical and cultural objects.

In conclusion, these exhibitions formats highlight the ongoing tension between accessibility and interpretation when it comes to contemporary art displays. Blockbuster exhibitions and biennales significantly expand public and global engagement with contemporary art, by attracting large and diverse audiences. The large scale and media attention, create a direct link to the social relevance and

tourism of museums. However, this comes at an expense of deeper understanding, making the emphasis on spectacle and non meaningful viewing. To contrast this, research focused exhibitions prioritise the cultural contextual information, encouraging slower more meaningful engagement from the public, however their specialised focus reduces wide accessibility to the public. Current exhibition practises therefore both weaken and strengthen connection between artwork and the public. The most effective approach lies in balancing these formats, combining all the good qualities together, allowing meaningful understanding while maintaining the broad public appeal.

1931 words

Bibliography

Trustees of the Natural History Museum, London (2024). Natural History Museum welcomed record-breaking 6.3 million visitors in 2024 | Natural History Museum. [online] Nhm.ac.uk.

Barker, E. (1999). Contemporary Cultures of Display. Yale University Press, New Haven & London, p.127.

Liu, E. (2012). Blockbuster exhibitions The Future of Swedish Museums? *Master's programme in International Museum Studies*.

Arthur Schopenhauer, The World as Will and Representation, trans. Judith Norman, Alistair Welchman, and Christopher Janaway (1818; Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2010), 260.

https://www.facebook.com/benadavis (2023). How the Many Dilemmas of Hannah Gadsby's Anti-Picasso Show Feed Our Contemporary Cultural Doom Loop | Artnet News. [online] Artnet News.

Hynes, N.J. (2016). The 2015 Venice Biennale. *THIRD TEXT Critical Perspectives on Contemporary Art and Culture.*

Davey, G. (2005). What is Museum Fatigue? *Visitor Studies Today*, Volume 8(Issue 3).

Robertson, A. (2004) The Research-Exhibition: Some Useful On-Line Media for This Alternative Publication Format of the Design Research Community., in Redmond, J., Durling, D. and de Bono, A (eds.), Future ground - DRS International Conference 2004, 17-21 November, Melbourne, Australia.