Imperial Intoxication: Opium Trade & British Imperialism in *The Picture of Dorian Gray*

Many scholarly texts on *The Picture of Dorian Gray* interpret opium through a predominantly symbolic lens that serves as a form of escape amidst Dorian's psychological and moral decline (Robinson). However, examining the drug within its nineteenth-century contexts of production, distribution, and consumption reveals a far more complex network of global exploitation rooted in British imperialism. While opium appears only sporadically throughout the novel, Wilde's depiction of the opium dens reflects the historical realities of such spaces at the time in urbanized London. In addition to this, its usage pertains directly to Dorian's coping mechanisms after he is consumed with guilt due to his immoral behaviour, especially after the murder of Basil Hallward. These dens were made possible by imperial systems of colonial trade, exploitative labour, and global commodity circulation, which transformed opium into an accessible substance within lower-class sectors of London. By examining opium's material history, it becomes clear that Dorian's indulgent lifestyle is not just a product of personal excess but one complicitly sustained by the exploitative structures of the British Empire that the novel itself leaves largely unspoken.

In order to understand the consumption of opium in the novel and within the context of nineteenth-century Britain, we must first examine its material composition and production history. Derived from the poppy plant, opium's medicinal effects are due to the plant's high latex composition, containing heightened "benzylisoquinoline alkaloids", a naturally occurring pain killer, similar to that of morphine and codeine, giving the plant its hallucinagenic properties (Machado et al). Resin produced by the poppy seedpod was then dried and formed into small balls, creating the narcotic known as opium. The resin/sap from the plant in its natural form,

prior to this drying process, presents a greenish gray/brown colour when exposed to oxygen, which we see in Dorian's possession towards the end of chapter 15 (Machado et al) (Wilde, 212).

For the purpose of relating to course content, I will be investigating opium's production and distribution history from the First Opium War to the beginning of the Second, yet its consumption dates back much further to 3400 B.C in lower Mesopotamia (Museum of Drug Enforcement Administration). Britain's initial monopolization of the opium trade can be traced back to the late eighteenth century, in order to offset tea distribution from China (Gutpa). As many English citizens enjoyed tea, silk, porcelain and other goods imported from China, another commodity was needed to address the trade imbalance. When the British Empire gained authority over Calcutta and the Bengal Presidency in 1764, it allowed them to control the economic systems and land needed to produce and manage trade (Castleow).

Transitioning into the early nineteenth century, Britain and the East India Company conducted large-scale excavations of Bengali landscapes and poppy plantations, which permanently transformed the environment and population patterns at the time (McDonagh and Wickes). Opium was grown by state-licensed farmers in a small area of the Gangetic plains, who were paid in advance and required to sell their crops at fixed prices to government-appointed agents (Gupta). Once farmed in India, opium was then transported through extensive trade networks and exported primarily to China, where demand for the drug became a crucial component of Britain's economic strategy (Cao).

When Chinese officials attempted to restrict the trade due to its damaging effects, Britain responded with military force, leading to the first Opium War from 1839 to 1842 (Hayes). These conflicts resulted in unequal treaties that forced China to continue accepting opium imports while granting Britain increased economic and territorial control (Hayes). As a result, opium

became more than just a substance, functioning as a tool of imperial dominance, demonstrating how economic ambition and global trade networks driven by the British Empire often operated at the expense of human health and social stability.

Following China's defeat in the First Opium War, the 1842 Treaty of Nanking granted Britain control of Hong Kong and the right for British merchants to trade freely in five Chinese ports, dramatically increasing commerce between India and China (Cao). Indian opium then became a major export, rising from just over 30,000 chests in 1835 to more than 72,000 chests two decades later, with private traders in Bombay, Calcutta, and Hong Kong driving much of this trade. Due to low production and taxation costs, opium sales contributed an expanding share of the British East India Company's Indian revenue, climbing from 1.44 million pounds sterling in 1832-1833 to 4.87 million pounds sterling in 1855 to 1856 (Cao). This led to the Indian uprising and the Second Opium War, spanning from 1857 to 1860.

Consumption of opium in London was quite different from that of China or India. Opium, predominantly in the form of laudanum, was legally sold over the counter in chemists' shops and general markets, making it widely accessible beyond the wealthy elite (Castleow). This accessibility, combined with the harsh living conditions faced by working-class communities, such as overcrowding, long hours, and poor health, meant that opium became a common means of coping with physical and social hardships. Urban opium dens, particularly in docklands and lower-income neighbourhoods, offered spaces where people could smoke or ingest the drug socially, creating localized centers of consumption among the poorer classes (Castleow). In Oscar Wilde's *The Picture of Dorian Gray*, this social reality is mirrored in the depiction of opium dens as shadowy, decadent spaces where Dorian seeks escape and indulgence. By portraying Dorian in these dens, Wilde not only emphasizes his moral decay and pursuit of

pleasure but also subtly reflects the broader availability and social penetration of opium in Victorian London.

While opium itself appears quite intermittently throughout the novel, its significance lies in how Dorian uses it to further descend into moral corruption, in addition to its connection to British Imperialism. Its first occurrence can be traced back to Lord Henry Watton's usage, where it has been infused with a cigarette (Wilde, 44). The production of opium-infused cigarettes can be traced back to the mid-late seventeenth century, where it first appeared in the form of 'madak', a blend of tobacco laced with opium (Dikötter et al). Whether Lord Henry obtained the opium laced cigarettes legally or through means of smuggling remains unclear, yet his excessive usage can be linked to his role as an English noble and their connection to Imperial trade.

Dorian Gray's descent into the opium dens of London marks a crucial shift in his relationship to guilt, revealing both the failure of aestheticism and the hidden imperial structures that sustain his escape. Earlier in the novel, Dorian justifies and romanticizes sin and a hedonistic lifestyle under the influence of Lord Henry Wotton, transforming even Sibyl Vane's death into an object of "a wonderful tragic figure" (Wilde, 140). A glimpse of the environment Dorian would later find himself in occurs shortly after leaving Sibyl in distress, expressing his only interest in her was due to her involvement in the arts and aesthetic appeal. He wanders through a low-income neighbourhood near the opera house in the East End, featuring "dimly lit streets [with] gaunt black-shadowed archways…women with harsh voices…drunkards cursing and chattering to themselves… and grotesque children" (Wilde, 125). By Dorian viewing the spaces he would later find himself in, it displays that he is not quite at his peak moral degeneration, but has taken the first step due to his poor treatment of Sibyl Vane.

It is ultimately the murder of Basil Hallward that introduces a new form of guilt that Dorian cannot aesthetically reframe as he did with the death of Sibyl, forcing him to seek not reinterpretation but self-destruction. His visits to the opium dens, where they are described as places where someone can “buy oblivion” and escape the “memory of old sins” (Wilde, 214). This shows his turn toward substance use/abuse rather than confronting his immorality. Despite having access to his own stash of opium, which he instinctively reaches for after Basil’s murder, he removes himself from any connection to his aristocracy by travelling into the East End, mirroring his moral fragmentation. He maintains a façade of aristocratic purity in the West, while descending into spaces of decay and anonymity to manage his inner corruption

These opium dens are not impartial sites of escape but are materially linked to British imperial systems, particularly the exploitative networks of the East India Company controlled by the British Empire. Therefore, Dorian’s ability to repress his guilt via substance abuse is subject to a colonial economy built on coerced labour and global inequality. In this way, his personal moral decay becomes inseparable from imperial violence, as the same structures that produce opium abroad enable his psychological evasion at home. Yet this escape remains unstable, as the opium den ultimately becomes a site of near-exposure when James Vane recognizes him, reinforcing the idea that guilt cannot be permanently buried. Dorian’s reliance on opium therefore reveals a cyclical pattern: sin, guilt, temporary oblivion, and return. This reveals that he is not liberated by his indulgence, but trapped within both his own conscience and the exploitative systems that sustain his denial.

The cultural fear surrounding opium use in nineteenth-century England, particularly the anxiety that it was “spreading among the white middle-class population,” reflects a broader concern with racial and moral degeneration that directly informs Dorian Gray’s descent into

addiction (Berridge). Opium was not only viewed as a threat to the working-class districts of East End London, but as a contaminating force capable of infiltrating the supposedly stable and superior middle and upper classes. This fear of "pollution" parallels Dorian's own narrative, in which his outward aristocratic purity conceals an inner moral corruption sustained through his visits to opium dens.

Dorian's ability to move between elite social spaces and the marginalized underworld of addiction reflects contemporary anxieties about the permeability of class and racial boundaries under empire. His indulgence in opium, particularly after murdering Basil Hallward, not only serves as a coping mechanism for guilt but also enacts the very "degeneracy" that Victorian society feared. In this case, Dorian's consumption of opium made possible by the British Empire shows how the same imperial systems that once reinforced British superiority can also reinforce its decline, turning the upper-class body into a site of contamination rather than control.

Susan Zieger's essay *Opium and Logistical Nightmares* touches on how opium served as a key component in British imperial superiority, referencing historian Carl Trocki's point of view: "Without opium, there would be no [British] empire" (122). Without the exploitative practices of the British Empire regarding opium, Dorian would have no form of psychological escape, which would force him into confronting his hedonistic way of life. Therefore, opium reveals itself as not merely a drug used as a form of escapism, but a colonial commodity built on exploitation sustained by the British Empire.

Works Cited

Berridge, Virginia. "East end opium dens and narcotic use in Britain." *The London Journal*, vol. 4, no. 1, May 1978, pp. 3–28, https://doi.org/10.1179/ldn.1978.4.1.3.

Cao, Yin. "The Malacca dilemma of the Raj: the Indian Uprising of 1857, the Second Opium War, and the British proposal of a Kra passage." Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society, vol. 34, no. 4, 2024, pp. 821-836. ProQuest, https://ezproxy.lib.torontomu.ca/login?url=https://www.proquest.com/scholarly-journals/malacca-dilemma-raj-indian-uprising-1857-second/docview/3140787821/se-2, doi:https://doi.org/10.1017/S1356186324000105.

Castleow, Ellen. “Opium in Victorian Britain.” *Historic UK*, 26 Jan. 2015, www.historic-uk.com/HistoryUK/HistoryofBritain/Opium-in-Victorian-Britain/.

Dikötter, Frank, et al. “Opium Before the ‘Opium War’: The Spread of Madak.” *Narcotic Culture: A History of Drugs in China*, C. Hurst, London, England, 2004, pp. 32–32

Gupta, Devyani. “ ‘Blackmail’: Networks of opium and postal exchange in nineteenth-century India.” *Literature & History*, vol. 29, no. 1, May 2020, pp. 78–96, https://doi.org/10.1177/0306197320907446.

Hayes, Jack Patrick. “The Opium Wars in China.” *Asia Pacific Curriculum*, 2021, asiapacificcurriculum.ca/sites/default/files/2021-11/Opium%20War%20-%20Updated%20Nov.%202021.pdf.

Machado, Rui S., et al. “The origins and spread of the opium poppy (*papaver somniferum* L.) revealed by genomics and seed morphometrics.” *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, vol. 380, no. 1926, 15 May 2025, https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2024.0198.

McDonagh, Josephine, and Briony Wickes. “The nineteenth-Century opium complex: From Thomas Love Peacock to Sherlock Holmes.” *Literature & History*, vol. 29, no. 1, May 2020, pp. 3–18, https://doi.org/10.1177/0306197320907437.

Museum of the Drug Enforcement Administration, “Opium Poppy.” *DEA Museum*, 2021, museum.dea.gov/exhibits/online-exhibits/cannabis-coca-and-poppy-natures-addictive-plants/opium-poppy#:~:text=The%20earliest%20reference%20to%20opium,Wars%20of%20the%20mid%2D1800s.

Robinson, David E. "The Gothic genre, classical allusion and other influences in Oscar Wilde’s The Picture of Dorian Gray." Literator, vol. 42, no. 1, 2021. ProQuest, https://ezproxy.lib.torontomu.ca/login?url=https://www.proquest.com/scholarly-journals/gothic-genre-classical-allusion-other-influences/docview/2490450508/se-2, doi:https://doi.org/10.4102/lit.v42i1.1737

Wilde, Oscar. *The Picture of Dorian Gray*. Edited by Norman Page, Broadview Press, 2002.

Zieger, Susan. “Opium and logistical nightmares.” English Language Notes, vol. 60, no. 1, 1 Apr. 2022, pp. 122–138, https://doi.org/10.1215/00138282-9560254.