

Carrie (2026): Mike Flanagan Gives Stephen King's Tragic Heroine a New Life, But at What Cost?

By Sydney Buckholt
October 2026

Mike Flanagan breathes new life into Stephen King's classic horror story, delivering a modern adaption that embraces its tragic roots while daring to explore a different future for Carrie White.

Stephen King's *Carrie* has never been an easy story to tell. Beneath the iconic blood-soaked prom dress, telekineses, and religious fanaticism lies a heartbreaking tale of isolation, cruelty, and a young girl desperately searching for acceptance. Since its publication back in 1974, the novel has remained one of King's most recognizable works, inspiring multiple screen adaptations and cementing Carrie White as one of horror's most tragic characters.

And with an already established films starring the incredible Sissy Spacek (1976) and later, Chloe Grace Moretz (2013), what more could possibly be said about Carrie? Quite a lot, according to writer and director Mike Flanagan.

Flanagan, also known for *Doctor Sleep*, *Gerald's Game*, and *The Life of Chuck*, returns to King's literary world with an eight-episode Prime Video adaption that feels both familiar and remarkably different. Rather than simply recreating the events of the novel, Flanagan explores how Carrie's story might unfold in a world shaped by social media, changing family dynamics, and a growing awareness of the consequences of bullying.

The result is an emotional reimagining that proves a classic story doesn't necessarily need to be retold the same way to remain relevant.

A New Generation of Horror

One of Flanagan's greatest strengths as a storyteller is his ability to recognize the emotional foundation of an existing story and build something new around it.

The original *Carrie* reflected the social anxieties of its time, particularly the devastating effects of bullying, religious extremism, and adolescent isolation. Flanagan brings those same themes into the modern world, where cruelty no longer ends when the school bell rings.

Smartphones and social media introduce a different kind of vulnerability for Carrie. Humiliation spreads beyond the school hallways, transforming an already painful experience into something potentially permanent. By using online harassment, suicide, and school violence, the series reminds viewers that the circumstances surrounding Carrie's suffering may have changed, but the cruelty behind them remains disturbingly familiar.

Carrie's family also receives a significant reimagining. Rather than growing up solely under the control of an intensely religious mother, this version of Carrie sees her come from an isolated, conspiracy-driven household where homeschooling has kept her separated from much of the outside world. It's an intriguing creative decision that ultimately introduces another layer to Carrie's struggle to understand the world around her.

A Mother and Daughter at the Heart of Horror

While the supernatural elements of *Carrie* are terrifying, there has always been a more disturbing terror when exploring the relationship between Carrie and her mother, Margaret White.

Summer H. Howell (*Night of the Reaper*, *Curse of Chucky*) and Samantha Sloyan (*Midnight Mass*, *Hush*) both bring an emotional intensity to their characters that makes their relationship one of the series' greatest strengths.

~~Howell portrays Carrie's vulnerability without allowing the character to become defined entirely by her suffering. There's an emotional weight to her performance, particularly as Carrie begins discovering both her abilities and her own identity.~~

~~Sloyan, meanwhile, gives Margaret White a complexity that extends beyond the familiar image of an overbearing, fanatically religious mother. In many scenes, it's hard to tell whether Margaret White was truly trying to protect Carrie or if she was just trying to control her.~~

Margaret's treatment of Carrie remains deeply troubling, but Sloyan's performance makes it possible to recognize the fear beneath that control without excusing the harm it causes. The emotional conflict between these two characters gives the series much of its power. Their scenes are more unsettling than any display of telekinesis because they expose the biggest monster hiding in plain sight: human nature.

A Different Kind of Adaption

One of the novel's most distinctive qualities is its unconventional storytelling structure. In the book, King frequently presents the events surrounding Carrie through reports, interviews, and retrospective accounts, giving the story the atmosphere of a tragedy being reconstructed after the fact. Flanagan does well to preserve this approach by incorporating an investigative framework into the series.

It's a smart decision that connects the literary origins without copying the narrative. This balance is essential to understanding why Flanagan's interpretation works so well. Rather than treating King's novel as an untouchable blueprint, he recognizes the opportunity to investigate a different corner of the story.

This isn't a new strategy for Flanagan by any means. In *Doctor Sleep* and *Gerald's Game* he used the same method to emphasize emotional consequences, complicated relationships, and the

humanity beneath extraordinary circumstances. With Carrie, these qualities are especially important. The supernatural abilities are compelling, but the real horror continues to come from the people surrounding Carrie and the circumstances that ultimately push her toward the iconic catastrophe.

A New Ending, But Was It the Right One?

Spoiler Warning: The Following section discusses the series finale.

Perhaps the most controversial decision in Flanagan's adaption is also its most disappointing. Carrie White *survives*.

For longtime readers of Stephen King's novel, this is a substantial departure from the original story. The infamous prom sequence has become one of horror's most recognizable tragedies, not simply because of its bloodshed, but because of the devastating consequences of Carrie's lifelong suffering.

In the novel, Carrie's death serves a painful yet necessary purpose. After enduring years of abuse, humiliation, and isolation, she is finally free from the world that tormented her. It's a heartbreaking conclusion, but one that gives the story its emotional finality. Flanagan's decision to let Carrie survive changes that fundamental message.

While there's something undeniably hopeful about giving Carrie an opportunity to live beyond her trauma, the finale feels strangely disconnected from the tragedy that preceded it. Rather than allowing her story to reach its natural conclusion, the series appears more interested in establishing what could come next.

Which raises an intriguing question: Is Flanagan attempting to building something bigger?

Stephen King is certainly no stranger to interconnected storytelling. Throughout his extensive bibliography, many characters and stories have crossed between his novels, creating a literary universe that extends far beyond any individual story.

Could Flanagan be exploring a similar direction with his adaptations? Perhaps even laying the groundwork for an ensemble of King's most prominent characters, something resembling an Avengers-style crossover within the world of supernatural horror?

It's an entertaining possibility, one that is entirely speculative. Nothing about Carrie's survival alone confirms such an ambitious plan. Still, the decision to introduce a potentially larger supernatural mythology makes it difficult to ignore the possibility that this adaption is interested in becoming something beyond *Carrie*.

And therein lies the problem.

The original story never needed a larger universe to justify its existence. It's emotional power came from its intimacy, from the tragic relationship between a young girl and a world that refused to accept her. By replacing that finality with the promise of something more, Flanagan risks sacrificing one of the very elements that made King's novel so unforgettable.

There's certainly room for Carrie to evolve. But not every tragedy needs a sequel, and not every character needs to survive for their story to matter.

Why Mike Flanagan Understands Stephen King

Mike Flanagan is a storyteller through and through.

What makes his adaptations compelling isn't simply his familiarity with King's work, it's his ability to uncover another story within an existing one, finding emotional possibilities that might otherwise remain unexplored.

It's a skill that deserves recognition, particularly in an entertainment industry where familiar intellectual property is frequently revisited without a clear creative purpose.

Flanagan doesn't appear interested in retelling *Carrie* simply because the character is recognizable. His interpretation asks what this story might mean to a different generation and whether its central tragedy must always lead to the same conclusion. That willingness to reinterpret familiar material is what makes the series worth discussing.

Carrie has always been controversial, regardless of whether audiences encounter it through King's novel or its various screen adaptations. Its themes remain uncomfortable because they reflect forms of cruelty that continue to exist outside the world of fiction.

Flanagan understands that horror doesn't lose its power when it evolves. Sometimes, finding a new perspective allows an old story to become frightening all over again. And while Carrie's future remains uncertain, one thing is clear: her story still has something to say.

For longtime fans and newcomers alike, Flanagan's *Carrie* offers a reminder that the most terrifying monsters aren't always supernatural. Sometimes, they're the people who refuse to let us become ourselves.

