Victoria Greco
Sports Journalism 368-501
January 20, 2025

Major League Wealth Advantages & Disadvantages

The financial divide in Major League Baseball is a serious issue, with wealthier teams like the Philadelphia Phillies and Los Angeles Dodgers benefiting disproportionately from their finances. For the 2025 season, the Phillies top the payroll rankings at $279,332,617, significantly surpassing the Competitive Balance Tax (CBT) threshold of $241 million. While the CBT was designed to penalize overspending and promote competitive balance, it has proven insufficient in controlling financial inequality. The idea of implementing both a minimum and maximum salary cap could address this issue by limiting excessive spending and making sure that small-market teams remain competitive against big-market teams.

The CBT, or the "luxury tax", aims to repel teams from exceeding a designated payroll limit. Teams that go over the limit are subject to escalating tax rates based on how much they overspend and for how many consecutive years they exceed the limit. For example, first-time offenders pay a 20% tax, while repeat offenders face penalties as high as 50%. Additionally, teams exceeding the CBT by $20 million or more suffer surcharges ranging from 12% to 60%, depending on the amount. The highest spenders may also face draft pick penalties, which de-incentivize reckless spending.

However, for wealthy teams like the Phillies, Dodgers, and New York Yankees, the luxury tax has become a cost of doing business rather than a disincentive. These teams generate large amounts of money through TV deals, sponsorships, and merchandise sales, allowing them to take the tax penalties without taking as big of a hit to their finances compared to small-market clubs. As a result, they can consistently sign high-profile players and build powerhouse rosters, as seen by recent player acquisitions like Juan Soto's 15-year $765 million contract with the Mets, and Tanner Scott's 4-year $72 million contract with the Dodgers (per source, MLB.com).

Small-market teams such as the Oakland Athletics and Miami Marlins struggle to compete against power clubs. Sure, decisions by club owners might also be to blame for struggling teams, but their limited revenue streams also prevent them from pursuing top-tier free agents or keeping their star players.

Introducing a minimum and maximum salary cap could help resolve these issues. A minimum cap would require all teams to invest a baseline amount in their rosters, preventing owners from excessively cutting costs and fielding non-competitive teams. A maximum cap would establish a hard spending limit, making sure that even the wealthiest franchises cannot overshadow their competitors with pure financial power.

The 2025 payroll data clearly shows the need for change. With the Phillies, Dodgers, and Yankees all exceeding the CBT threshold, their financial dominance threatens the sport's competitive integrity. While the CBT is a start to addressing imbalances in the game, more is needed to be done. A dual-cap system could be a more effective solution, addressing both ends of the financial divide and encouraging fairness throughout the league.

###

479 words.

References

Adler, S. C. D. (2025, January 19). Japanese phenom Sasaki picks the Dodgers. *MLB.com*. https://www.mlb.com/dodgers/news/roki-sasaki-contract-with-dodgers

Competitive Balance Tax | Glossary | MLB.com. (n.d.). MLB.com. https://www.mlb.com/glossary/transactions/competitive-balance-tax

Murphy, A. C. B. (2025, January 20). Relief ace Tanner Scott, Dodgers agree to 4-year deal (source). *MLB.com*. https://www.mlb.com/news/tanner-scott-dodgers-contract

Spotrac. (n.d.). *2025 MLB Team Salary Payroll Tracker*. spotrac.com. https://www.spotrac.com/mlb/payroll/_/year/2025/sort/cap_active/dir/desc