Deconstructing Compassion: Combatting the Machine for Healing in the Era of Artificial Intelligence

Human beings are profoundly fragile, impressionable, and intricate creatures. We are a complex species composed of consciousness, a keen craving for communication, connection, and an unyielding foundation to grow into our authentic selves. The human brain is akin to the structure of a building: a mosaic of memories and experiences that make up who we are as individuals—imperfect, adaptable, and abundant with potential. Is it an abstract notion to consider human beings as buildings and, conversely, buildings as human beings? How might this metaphor be useful for human advancement, healing, and overall health? These are essential questions in an era of rapid technological development, especially as artificial intelligence subtly—and often unconsciously—shapes human behaviour.

Victoria Bates' article, "'Humanizing' Healthcare Environments: Architecture, Art and Design in Modern Hospitals," invites us to explore these questions through the lens of hospital design. Bates argues that to achieve a more humanistic approach to healthcare, empathy and compassion must be foundational to both medical environments and the architectural spaces they occupy.

Bates traces efforts to humanize hospital design in the UK through the late twentieth century, linking these to earlier initiatives in France and Nordic countries and contrasting them with the profit-driven healthcare system in 1980s America. She emphasizes the importance of environments that embody human qualities: warmth, privacy, natural light, soothing colours, and emotional safety. Bates applies the age-old question—"what makes us human?"—to architecture, encouraging a deeper look into receptivity, individuality, and the emotional experience of care.

These qualities were once embedded in modernist buildings such as Alvar Aalto's Paimio Sanitorium and Berthold Lubetkin's Finsbury Health Centre, both designed to nurture patients holistically.

However, the rise of medical technology in the 1960s and 1970s shifted the emphasis from care to cure. Patients, once treated as subjects, were increasingly viewed as clinical objects. Hospitals became cold, industrial "machines for healing," and patients began to lose their voices, their visibility, and their individuality. Bates makes a compelling case for re-humanizing these spaces, showing that patients thrive in non-institutional, non-biomedical, and non-technological environments that incorporate light, greenery, and tranquillity—settings often described in the literature as "therapeutic landscapes."

This vision is especially relevant in Alberta's healthcare system post-COVID-19, where platforms like Connect Care have revolutionized patient record-keeping. While these changes improve efficiency, they also raise questions about confidentiality and depersonalization. Can compassion coexist with convenience? Can privacy survive in the digital age?

Angeliki Kerasidou's article, "Artificial Intelligence and the Ongoing Need for Empathy, Compassion and Trust in Healthcare," directly addresses these dilemmas. Kerasidou acknowledges the undeniable benefits of AI, greater precision, personalization, and diagnostic success, but warns that its integration into medical practice may erode trust, reduce relational care, and challenge the place of empathy in doctor-patient interactions. AI can save time, but what do we lose when emotional labour is outsourced to machines?

Here lies the paradox: technology can either widen the gap between patient and practitioner or become a tool for enhancing compassionate care. Bates and Kerasidou agree—technology must not dictate the terms of care; human values must. We must decide what kind of future we are building.

This is why Emily Dickinson's poem, "If I Can Stop One Heart from Breaking," remains so poignant:

"If I can stop one heart from breaking, I shall not live in vain; If I can ease one life the aching, Or cool one pain, Or help one fainting robin Unto his nest again, I shall not live in vain."

Though written long before AI and digital healthcare, Dickinson's verse encapsulates the essential truth of what it means to be human: to suffer with, to comfort, to connect. The Latin roots of the word compassion—*com* and *passio*, "to suffer with"—remind us that healing is not merely technical. It is relational. It is intentional.

Despite the influence of artificial intelligence on healthcare and the environments that contain it, we must remember that technology and compassion are not mutually exclusive. But neither will they harmonize on their own. We must make room for compassion to lead. Whether in how we design hospitals or implement new tech systems, the goal should always be to serve the patient as a person first.

Works Cited

Bates, Victoria '*Humanizing' healthcare environments: architecture, art and design in modern hospitals,* [Journal Title] vol. 2, no. 1, 2018, pp.5-19, DOI: 10.1080/24735132.2018.1436304

Dickinson, Emily. *If I can stop one heart from breaking(#919).* 1929, https://dailypoetry.me/emily-dickinson/if-stop-heart-breaking/. Accessed 4 December 2021.

Kerasidou, Angeliki. Artificial intelligence and the ongoing need for empathy, compassion and trust in healthcare *Bull World Health Organ* 2020;98:245–250| doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.2471/BLT.19.237198

Reich, Warren Thomas. "SPEAKING OF SUFFERING: A Moral Account of Compassion." *Soundings: An Interdisciplinary Journal*, vol. 72, no. 1, Penn State University Press, 1989, pp. 83–108, http://www.jstor.org/stable/41178467.

Thompson, Suzy. "Connect Care launches second wave." *Alberta Health Services,* 2 November 2020, https://www.albertahealthservices.ca/news/features/2021/Page15689.aspx