A Critical Analysis of Patagonia's Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) and Environment, Social, and Governance (ESG)

Yusra Akhundzadah

\

A Critical Analysis of Patagonia's Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) and Environment, Social, and Governance (ESG)

Corporate social responsibility (CSR) and environment, social, and governance (ESG) have become prominent areas of interest in contemporary business practices (Ford & Stohl, 2019; Lee et al., 2021). CSR refers to a company's commitment to addressing social and environmental concerns, while ESG focuses on evaluating an organization's performance in relation to environmental sustainability, social responsibility, and corporate governance (Ford & Stohl, 2019; Lee et al., 2021).

Numerous studies have examined the impact of CSR on brand perception and consumer behavior. For example, Ford and Stohl (2019) conducted a longitudinal analysis of product reviews for CSR-associated brands, finding a positive relationship between CSR initiatives and consumer perceptions. Similarly, Lee et al. (2021) explored the signaling of ESG practices by firms, highlighting the importance of ESG signals in brand valuation and competitiveness. In addition, Filbeck et al. (2021) investigated the value of corporate benevolence in building social capital during crises, such as the COVID-19 pandemic. These studies demonstrate the growing recognition of the significance of CSR and ESG in shaping consumer attitudes, brand reputation, and organizational success in brands such as Patagonia (Hwang et al., 2016; Ford & Stohl, 2019; Lee et al., 2021; Filbeck et al., 2021).

Benefits of CSR and ESG Marketing

When CSR and ESG marketing is implemented genuinely and responsibly, it can positively impact a brand in multiple ways (Ford & Stohl, 2019; Lee et al., 2021). According to Ford & Stohl (2019), CSR allows businesses to showcase their commitment to social issues that contribute to positive change beyond their core operations. Lee et al. (2021) add that ESG offers brands the same benefit. CSR and ESG initiatives enable companies to differentiate themselves in competitive markets by aligning with the values and concerns of their target audience. Together, the authors make a strong case supporting that CSR and ESG marketing can help brands build their reputation, attract socially conscious consumers, and foster customer loyalty (Ford & Stohl, 2019; Lee et al., 2021).

Patagonia's Successful Implementation of CSR and ESG

Well-known for its strong commitment to environmental sustainability and social responsibility, Patagonia is a clothing brand that signals CSR and ESG practices effectively to its consumers (Hwang et al., 2016). As Pressgrove and Bowman (2020) discuss, prosocial storytelling is an effective way for brands to promote social causes. Not only does Patagonia execute this well, but the brand has also implemented various initiatives to minimize its ecological footprint and support causes related to environmental conservation (Hwang et al., 2016). For example, Patagonia facilitates a program that encourages customers to repair and reuse previously worn clothing, promoting sustainability and emphasizing the importance of reducing waste (Hwang et al., 2016; Schillmann, 2020). The brand also donates a significant portion of its profits to environmental organizations through its "1% for the Planet" commitment (Patagonia, 2023, p.1). These efforts have solidified Patagonia's reputation as a socially and environmentally responsible brand (Hwang et al., 2016; Schillmann, 2020).

Communicating CSR and ESR Initiatives

Moreover, Patagonia is largely successful in communicating its purpose for engaging in CSR and ESG initiatives; the brand emphasizes transparency, storytelling, and providing detailed information about its supply chain practices and environmental impact (Schillmann, 2020). This helps to establish credibility and communicate its purpose clearly to consumers. For example, Patagonia clearly articulates its desire to “use business to inspire and implement solutions to the environmental crisis” (2020). The brand backs its words with action. One way it does this is by consistently donating to environmental organizations through its "1% for the Planet" pledge demonstrate the brand’s commitment to environmental sustainability and social responsibility (Patagonia, 2023, pp. 1). Patagonia also transparently reports information about its environmental impact and philanthropic activities, including the steps the brand has taken to ensure fair labor conditions (Hwang et al., 2016).

Furthermore, Patagonia communicates its CSR and ESG initiatives through digital storytelling techniques (Hwang et al., 2016). Storytelling is an effective tool for brands to convey their message and mission as it evokes the target audience’s emotions (Dawson, 2020). Patagonia tells its story and purpose through its marketing efforts, which consist of utilizing various channels, including its website, product descriptions, and advertising campaigns (Hwang et al., 2016). The brand’s socially and environmentally conscious narrative highlights its efforts in promoting sustainable practices, fair labor conditions, and environmental activism (Hwang et al., 2016; Schillmann, 2020). Overall, Patagonia's communication efforts successfully convey its purpose for engaging in CSR and ESG, leading to a positive perception among consumers who value these initiatives.

Consumers’ Perception of Patagonia

Personally, the efforts made by Patagonia to promote its social responsibility have influenced this researcher to adopt a more favorable opinion of the brand. Patagonia’s authenticity and consistency in advocating for social and environmental causes have garnered respect and trust from consumers as its business efforts go beyond profit-making (Schillmann, 2020). By engaging in CSR, the brand can attract consumers and employees who prioritize sustainability and ethical practices (Hwang et al., 2016). Patagonia's transparency and strong commitment to environmental sustainability contributes to its overarching, positive brand perception and aligns with this researcher's personal values.

CSR and ESG Marketing Can Undermine a Brand

While CSR and ESG efforts can benefit brands, it is important to approach CSR and ESG marketing cautiously; otherwise, it can cause controversy, as it did with Patagonia (Hwang et al., 2016). In 2011, Patagonia placed an advertisement in *The New York Times* featuring their popular jacket with the message, "Don't Buy This Jacket" (Hwang et al., 2016, p.3). The ad strategically appeared on Black Friday, a significant day for consumerism, which intensified the controversy surrounding Patagonia's statement. While the brand aimed to encourage consumers to contemplate their genuine needs and foster mindful purchasing habits, critics accused Patagonia of hypocrisy and using the campaign as a marketing ploy to boost sales (Hwang et al., 2016). It contributed to a significant increase in Patagonia's sales as the brand's profits surpassed $543 million within nine months and led to speculation about the true intentions behind the advertisement and statement (Hwang et al., 2016). This illustrates how it can be challenging for brands to implement CSR and ESG marketing. Companies have been accused of exaggerating or misrepresenting their CSR or ESG efforts for marketing purposes without meaningful actions or transparent reporting (Hwang et al., 2016). This can erode consumer trust and undermine the credibility of genuine CSR and ESG initiatives, as was the case with Patagonia.

Maximizing the Effectiveness of CSR and ESR Marketing

To combat the perception of using CSR and ESG narratives as a marketing tactic without genuine commitment or meaningful actions, it is crucial for brands like Patagonia to align their messaging with actual practices and measurable impacts (Lindwall, 2023). If a brand overstates its social responsibility efforts without substantial evidence or fails to address significant issues within its own operations, it can lead to skepticism and a loss of trust from consumers (Lindwall, 2023). To maximize the effectiveness of CSR and ESG marketing, brands must engage in transparent reporting and accountability and seek continuous improvement. These factors are crucial in upholding credibility and preventing CSR and ESG marketing from being perceived as superficial (Cote, 2022; Lindwall, 2023).

Moreover, integrating CSR and ESG into core business strategies and operations, rather than treating them as a separate marketing endeavors, can lead to more authentic and impactful outcomes (Lindwall, 2023). However, it must be approached with sincerity, transparency, and a genuine intention to drive positive change (Lindwall, 2023). It is crucial for brands to ensure that their CSR and ESG initiatives are authentic, transparent, and aligned with their core values and business practices to avoid undermining their efforts.

A Brand's Responsibility

Thus, it is evident that CSR and ESG play crucial roles in contemporary business practices as they influence brand perception and consumer behavior (Ford & Stohl, 2019; Lee et al., 2021). Patagonia exemplifies the successful implementation of CSR and ESG through transparent communication and sustainable practices (Hwang et al., 2016; Schillmann, 2020; Patagonia, 2023). This requires brands to align messaging with actions, integrate CSR and ESG into core strategies for meaningful impact, and maintain a genuine commitment to CSR and ESG initiatives to avoid losing credibility (Cote, 2022; Lindwall, 2023). As a result, brands can build trust and foster consumer loyalty like Patagonia has (Hwang et al., 2016; Schillmann, 2020). By taking responsibility and actively addressing social and environmental issues, brands can contribute to positive, effective, and meaningful change worldwide.

References

Cote, C. (2022, August 4). *Impact washing: What it is & how to stop it*. Business Insights Blog. https://online.hbs.edu/blog/post/what-is-impact-washing

Dawson, P. (2020). Hashtag narrative: Emergent storytelling and affective publics in the digital age. *International Journal of Cultural Studies, 23*(6) 968–983.

Filbeck, G., Robbins, E., & Zhao, X. (2021). Social capital during the coronavirus pandemic: The value of corporate benevolence. *Applied Economics*, 1-13.

Ford, B. R., & Stohl, C. (2019). Does CSR Matter? A longitudinal analysis of product reviews for CSR-associated brands. *Journal of Brand Management, 26*, 60-70.

Hwang, C., Lee, Y., Diddi, S., & Karpova, E. (2016). Don't buy this jacket. *Journal of Fashion Marketing and Management: An International Journal.*

Lee, M. T., Raschke, R. L., & Krishen, A. S. (2021). Signaling green! Firm ESG signals in an interconnected environment that promotes brand valuation. *Journal of Business Research, 138*, 1-11.

Lindwall, C. (2023, February 9). *What is greenwashing?*. National Resources Defense Council. https://www.nrdc.org/stories/what-greenwashing#:~:text=Greenwashing%20is%20the%2 0act%20of,of%20a%20product%20or%20practice.

Patagonia. (2023). *1% for the planet*.https://www.patagonia.com/one-percent-for-the-planet. html#:~:text=Since%201985%2C%20 Patagonia%20has%20 pledged,difference%20in%2 0 their%20 local%20 communities.

Pressgrove, G., & Bowman, N. D. (2020). From immersion to intention? Exploring advances in prosocial storytelling. *International Journal of Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Marketing*.

Schillmann, C. (2020). Patagonia Inc. under a sustainability perspective. *Nottingham University Business School.* 1-29.