



The National Student > Opinion

Why denying Tube workers the right to strike sets a dangerous precedent

Gursimran Hans 13th October 2017 RATE THIS ARTICLE

Share This Article:



This article was written in response to Why TfL drivers should never be allowed to strike.

Last week, you could almost feel the capital breathe a sigh of relief. The proposed Tube strike had been called off. I for one didn't have to take three - most likely packed - buses and TfL Rail to get to university.





This article was written in response to Why TfL drivers should never be allowed to strike.

Last week, you could almost feel the capital breathe a sigh of relief. The proposed Tube strike had been called off. I for one didn't have to take three - most likely packed - buses and TfL Rail to get to university.



There was an inclination to say that TfL strikes should be banned, due to the inevitable disruption it would cause. But this is a very dangerous line of reasoning.

As someone who has libertarian and conservative tendencies, I feel strike action should be avoided at all costs, and that sometimes they are unwarranted.

But to completely ban them, goes too far the other way.

Philosophy students would be aware of Aristotle's law of means - a virtue is the halfway between two extreme vices.



This is something that can certainly be applied here. Constant striking would damage the economy and lead to almost anarchy - nothing will get done and the country will come to a standstill. Certainly, sympathetic strikes should not be considered. It's all well-showing solidarity, but if services are disrupted enough, I'm not sure it's helpful.

You could always show solidarity by donating money to cover the loss in wages - nothing to stop you. And whilst we're here - the argument that transport workers can't strike as people might lose wages if they can't travel isn't a strong one, either.

It's not also fair on employers who haven't done anything wrong.

By striking, workers are willingly losing a day's work also, if they're willing to do that then a) there's probably something that is deeply troubling then, justified or not and b) everyone's in the same right.

Next, we must look at the banning of said strikes and what it actually suggests.

- Article continues below...
- More stories you may like...
- Why cutting down on unconditional offers is not a bad thing
- Cash is not the solution to the teacher shortage
- Criticism of the Youth Strike 4 Climate protest is shameful

It suggests that people are entitled to the fruits of TfL drivers' labour. Being entitled to someone's labour is a horrifying concept. This way - no matter what, someone has to drive you, which has the same principle as slavery.

Though, I wouldn't claim it was exactly the same as that would devalue the suffering of those subjected to the brutal practice.

But, say, TfL workers weren't allowed to strike? What could happen?



The option to strike prevents companies from imposing draconian working conditions and practices on the workforce. If you can't strike, then you only have two options. Leave you or just take it.

With the former, there's no guarantee you'll find employment quickly. With the second, this could lead to really dire consequences.

Between 2009 and 2015, abuse on the London Underground towards workers increased by 44%. This lead to <u>strike action</u>. Working on the Underground network can be more dangerous than people think.

Imagine working the graveyard shift on your own. Late at night, some rowdy passengers, some of whom might be intoxicated and aggressive come in hurling abuse at you?

Drivers may pull the trains but workers also play a vital role in keeping the system running. If they want their bosses to help them feel safe, why is that such a bad thing?

The previous aborted strike came as TfL had reneged on earlier agreements regarding working hours.

If your boss makes an agreement with you, and then just doesn't fulfill - you would be furious and quite rightly so. But, hey, if you're not allowed to strike, you're faced with the same issue. Put up or leave.

Which is why banning strikes are wrong. I really don't like them, but in extreme cases, they are more than justifiable, they provide a safety net for workers so they don't get exploited. If you value the people who help you get to your destination on time for reasonable-ish prices, then you would agree anything that stops them being exploited is surely a good thing.

It may be annoying to have the inconvenience, but surely, when it's really needed, the ability to strike is more important?

read more

You might also like...

Why our appetite for political protest is doing more harm than good Why celebrities adverts for diet aids should be banned Criticism of the Youth Strike 4 Climate protest is shameful Why dating apps don't ask for enough information Mobile phones in schools: Is a total ban the way forward? Cash is not the solution to the teacher shortage Filtering Out the 'Weak': University of **Edinburgh's Mandatory Interruptions** Policy is a slap in the face of student welfare Should parents be allowed to opt their children out of sex education at school? An open letter on education: Why it

should change, but not in the way you

believe



Why cutting down on unconditional offers is not a bad thing

People who read this also read...

Interview: Kissy Sell Out Tyrannosaurus Rex appears in US court New Band of The Day: Doldrums Cardiff University 'worst in UK' for sexual health services Film Review: My Brother the Devil Anchorman 2: My movie moustache and me 10 Ways to Survive Moving Back in with Mum and Dad Britain's nightclubs are closing, and here's why 5 European cities vou can see on a **budget Interview: Eric Stonestreet**

TRENDING







GB Bobsleighers record best finish in 53 years - in a Crowdfunded sled



Snowboarder, 18, breaks ankle but still finishes second







Omloop Het Nieuwsblad women's race stopped as cyclist catches men's event



READ MORE TRENDING

TRENDING CHANNELS





Hilton



PRIMARK*



WORLD PHOTOGRAPHY ORGANISATION



 $I\ W\ O\ O\ T^*$



ALL MEDIA PARTNERS



Ciaran Hanvey

Ranking:

Articles: 26 Reads: 25687

Top article: Was Amazon Prime day 2018 just an

irresponsible advertising ploy?

CONTRIBUTORS

- About TNS
- Legal, Terms & Conditions
- Write for The National Student
- Contact
- Advertise

© 2019 The National Student.com is a website of BigChoice Group Limited | 10-12 The Circle, Queen Elizabeth Street, London, SE1 2JE | registered in England No 6842641 VAT # 971692974

