

Ms. Ivy's Lawsuit

In this scenario the plaintiff is Ms. Ivy who is suing a bank in court of law. Ms. Ivy has graduated from California and pursued a Business Administration degree, this depicts that she is having a zero background regarding financial loans and banking stuffs. She needed a loan to purchase some property but she was really short on funds therefore she contacted an Interstate Bank.

On January 30, 2005, she was able to get her loan approved from Interstate Bank. The loan commitment had a clear condition that they would apply a first property lien on her property. Apart from this property she also owned a piece of land in Lagoon Beach, the conditions of the Interstate Bank also applied on this land and accordingly lien was also implemented.

The actual lender of the Lagoon Beach land was Bank of Land. They had also applied the lien condition on the Lagoon Beach land. Apart from this, the main matter of interest was that Ms. Ivy who had already paid off the mortgage on Lagoon Beach land was still unable to remove the lien from her owned property.

Ms. Ivy being a plaintiff is on the right side because she had already fulfilled the basic and foremost requirements of the bank loan and she was clear from all the sides. She had been doing some real hard work to get her case resolved but all in vain. She had been faxing the required bank i.e. Bank of Land but they did not respond her and this only results in the elongation of case and elated the justice.

The defendant which is Bank of Land is providing a very casual sort of verdict. They consider the delay in removal of lien was due to internal turnovers in Bank of Land. This sort of verdict is totally unbeneficial and useless for Ms. Ivy because this totally not a problem of her. Moreover she has been contacting the Bank of Land for the past sixty-days and they are not helping the plaintiff in any way. This totally depicts that above mentioned statement by the Bank of Land has no strong roots and plaintiff should be provided with the justice as soon as possible.

The foremost and the basic human of right of Ms. Ivy that is being exploited is that she has already paid off all the money bank to loan but the Bank of Land has still not removed the lien. Apart from this whole matter is also affecting the personal career of the plaintiff. As Ms. Ivy is also interested to purchase a property and run her business on it. The purchasing of the new property requires some security, for this purpose Ms. Ivy is only having the Lagoon Beach land but it is still not in the custody of hers.

After analyzing and interpreting the whole complete situation it is clear and evident the plaintiff is not even responsible for even a small little mistake. She has honestly and professionally fulfilled all the requirements for the defendant but to no avail.

In light of the above lawsuit, it is requested by the Honorable Court of Law that plaintiff matter should be taken into consideration more deeply this time. The defendant is liable to remove the lien from Ms. Ivy's Lagoon Beach Land, therefore it is requested that Ms. Ivy is given first priority in her very next hearing.