FLOOD WATCH
KSL NEWSCENTER 24/7
Watch Live

KSL+: Next Steps For Policing After Derek Chauvin Verdict

JULY 2ND, 2021 AT 6:00 PM | UPDATED: JULY 2ND, 2021 AT 6:00 PM

BY MATT RASCON & SHELBY HINTZE, KSL TV

Share

Editor's note: Interview lightly edited for clarity and readability.

DAILY LAKE CITY (Utah) – Former Minnesota police officer Derek Chauvin was found guilty of all three charges against him in the death of George Floyd—second and third degree murder charges and one count of manslaughter. He is only the second officer in Minnesota history to be found guilty of murder and the first white officer to be convicted of killing a black man in that state.

The prosecution told the jury to believe their eyes – referring to the video seen around the world showing Chauvin's knee on the neck of Floyd. And the jury believed it. Making their decision after roughly 10 hours of deliberation.

And the reaction from Salt Lake City to Minneapolis was immediate.

What happens next?

What does the verdict in this one compelling case mean for the ongoing movement to change policing? Over the last week I and several of my colleagues spoke to community leaders, activists, and police officers to get their thoughts on the verdict and discussion about. I sat down with former Salt Lake City Police Chief Chris Burbank. He now works with for the Center for Policing Equity, that's reimagining policing and society at large.

Chris Burbank: So it's a question of, I think, the mistake we make is we're going to overthink all of our erosion. Right? There are things that federal governments should do. There are things states should do. They're absolutely things cities and police departments should do. But I think the biggest thing that we can do is to hold ourselves and our about eight-times more likely to be asked for consent search. The efficacy of that effort—the time the officer is right—in most cities in the country falls below 2%. In other words, somebody's going to say yes to that request 98% of the time. And so I think I'm tongue in tongue-and-cheek on it. I'm there, and the rest of the time they're just the channel when they see your face comes on the news? Would you be on the news for wrong? But yeah, we put ourselves on the back and say "that was a good day, good job, son. This is the right thing to do". And the activity impact on society and the activity impact on the community is not measured. And so I think it's a combination of, you know, we've got to change the culture of the police department right here in front of us. Let's change it. I am just insistent. I began my career 30 years ago. I'm not going to be around for the next 30 years. But I'm going to be insistent that we change the culture of police departments and the relationship between the communities and police officers, rather than the culture of the trial.

Matt Rascon: Right? Well, since you brought it up, I know, let's go back to the outcome of his trial. What does this actually put the impact of this trial go beyond the activists or rallies that we could see in the streets? You know, here in the days? What happens next? Or what should happen?

Chris Burbank: Well, what should happen next is we now say, Okay, we have gotten the attention of all the players here, the public, the police, the political entities, right? We've got the attention of everybody. Now, what are we going to do with it? We took the time to start make the changes to the entire profession, to the entire criminal justice system, if you will, in order to ensure this doesn't happen again. And so if we're still talking about here looming six months from now, then we will have failed.

Matt Rascon: The things that I've heard brought up are checks and balances, the an oversight committee, mandatory training on your video cameras on the officers and things like that. Are these some of the right things to be bringing up?

Chris Burbank: Well, they should be done. But those are the things that are going to change the culture. Right? Those are the things that are going to change the way that we've been performed for the—this is kind of change for behavior whatsoever. We've been a rule in place, that we are going to engage with members of the public for misdemeanor offenses that really have no bearing on the outcome of things. And you're not allowed to use force in a certain way, you now change why we're doing it, how we're doing it, and the fact that we're doing it in the first place. And so I don't capture it. Right? What if someone stands in the way? Does that mean it's dead? And, again, this is the fallacy of policing. We should not accept another person dying at the hands of police officers. Ever. Right? That's the goal. And that's the mother of the public. This is unacceptable. And if the rest of the world struggles with the same issue, then maybe we could say boy, it's just insurmountable. But a profession of guns, our acceptance of violence, the identity politics, all these things come into play as to why there's a problem in policing. But what we need to do is say that's unacceptable. We're not going to accept that. And we're not going to accept it as a part of policing. It is an issue. It's a employment, in fact misses within the—if and whether or not someone is going to be in the criminal justice system is their access to a gun, and that's a problem in and of itself. And so we've got to change the entire culture. When we need to look holistically and say, all right, there's a reason for this. We're scared. We're scared. I'm afraid for my son's life. I'm afraid for my wife. I'm afraid for my daughter. I'm afraid for my family. I'm afraid for myself. I'm afraid. I'm afraid. I'm afraid